Organization	E
Project Name	E
CoC Model/Component	
Populations Served	
Project Status	
Date of Review	
Reviewer	

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

Criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Eligible/Ineligible	Data Details	Source
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.	ELIGIBLE	Nonprofit	Pre-application
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements	ELIGIBLE	Eligible	Pre-application
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.	ELIGIBLE		CoC Coordiantor
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	ELIGIBLE		Pre-application
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No or less than 25% required match.	ELIGIBLE		Project Application
Coordinated Entry	Applicant participates in or agrees to participate in the Central MN CoC Coordinated Entry System, including attending training, completing CES assessments and receiving referrals through Coordinated Entry as outlined in the Central MN CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations)	Applicant does not agree to participate in the Central MN CoC Coordinated Entry System (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations)	ELIGIBLE		Pre-application
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from	ELIGIBLE		Pre-application
Admin	Admin costs less than 10%	Admin costs greater than 10%.	ELIGIBLE		Project Application

EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS

HUD/LOCAL PRIORITIES

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Chronic Homeless (PSH only)	95% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	80-94% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	This project designatges fewer than 80% of units to serve chronically homeless individuals	8/4/0		0		esnaps Application
Veterans	50% or more of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	20-49% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	<20% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	4/2/0		0		esnaps Application
Housing First	Project scores at least 12 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores 10 or 11 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores below 10 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	8/4/0		0		Housing 1st Checklist
Unmet Need: Geographic Area	Location and household type priority match	Location is priority Match (not household type)	No match	4/2/0		0		e-snaps application: Households table and location(s)

EQUITY--NEW MEASURES

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Equal Access	Comply with all items listed on Equal Access checklist		Fails to comply with all items listed on Equal Access checklist	4/0	YES	4		Equal access checklist

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Equity--Staff Composition	At least 20% of organization's staff identify as Black, Indigenous, or People of Color (BIPOC), and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	10-19% of organization's staff identify as BIPOC, and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	Less than 10% of organization's staff identify as BIPOC, and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	0/0/0	0%	0		Pre-application
Equity--Board/Leadership Composition	At least 20% of organization's board, directors, managers identify as BIPOC, and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	10-19% of organization's board, directors, managers identify as BIPOC, and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	Less than 10% of organization's board, directors, managers identify as BIPOC, and/or as LGBTQ+, and/or have experienced homelessness	0/0/0		0		Pre-application
Equity--Increase Overall Income	30% or more of BIPOC households increase overall income	20-29% of BIPOC households increase overall income	Less than 20% of BIPOC households increase overall income	0/0/0	0%	0		ICA report?
Equity--Exits to permanent housing	At least 80% of BIPOC participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	75-80% of BIPOC participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	Less than 75% of BIPOC participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	0/0/0	0%	0		ICA report?
Equity--Returns to Homelessness (12 months)	Less than 10% of BIPOC participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10-15% of BIPOC participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	15% or more of BIPOC participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	0/0/0	0%	0		ICA report?

CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO SERVING YOUTH, FAMILY & CHILDREN

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Connection to K-12 education	Written plan with staff qualifications, physical space, partner roles, and evaluation defined	Partial Plan	No Plan	4/2/0		0		Checklist/plan
Early Childhood Development	Written plan with staff qualifications, physical space, partner roles, and evaluation defined	Partial Plan	No Plan	4/2/0		0		Checklist/plan

CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Domestic Violence (experience)	Project has at least 5 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has 1-4 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has less than 1 year experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	4/2/0		0		Pre-application (# of years)
Domestic Violence (specialized services)	Project utilizes specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors		Project does not utilize specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors	4/0		0		Pre-application
Domestic Violence (client perceived risk)	At least 80% of participants report reduced level of perceived risk at 12 months	50-79% of participants report reduced level of perceived risk at 12 months	Less than 50% of participants report reduced level of perceived risk at 12 months	0/0/0	0%	0		Participant survey

GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Bed Utilization	More than 90% of project beds	85-90% of project beds	Less than 85% of project beds.	8/4/0		0		APR Q7, APR Q8
Funding management: unspent funds	Spent 95% or more of grant award.	Spent 90-94% of grant award.	Spent 89% or less of grant award.	8/4/0		0		eLOCCS screenshots

Criterion	Most Desirable		Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Funding management: drawdowns	Grantee has had at least quarterly (4+)		Grantee has had less than quarterly (4+)	4/2/0		0		eLOCCS screenshots
CoC Participation	Project repesentatives attend at least 75% of CoC meetings	Project repesentatives attend 50-74% of CoC meetings	Project repesentatives attend less than 50% of CoC meetings	2/1/0		0		Meeting sign-in sheets
Data Quality (NA for SSO and HMIS projects)	At least 75% of data quality points possible	65-74% of data quality points possible	Less than 65% of data quality points possible	8/4/0		0		APR Q6a-6e or APR Upload tool?

PERFORMANCE MEASURES								
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data	Score	Data Details	Source
Returns to Homelessness (12 months)	Less than 10% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10-15% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	15% or more of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	8/4/0		0		SEC 054 Returns to Homelessness Report
Earned Income--Increase	10% or more increase for PSH, **35%** or more for TH, RRH	5-9% for PSH, 20-34% for TH, RRH	Less than 5% for PSH, Less than 20% for TH/RRH	2/1/0		0		APR Q19a1, APR Q19a2
Earned Income--Maintain/Increase	20% or more for PSH, 50% or more for TH, RRH	10-19% for PSH, 40-49% for TH, RRH	Less than 10% for PSH, Less than 40% for TH/RRH	2/1/0		0		APR Q19a1, APR Q19a2
Non-Employment Income--Maintain/Increase	75% or more for PSH, 50% or more for RRH, 10% or more for TH	50-74% for PSH, 40-49% for RRH, 5-9% for TH	Less than 50% for PSH, Less than 40% for RRH, Less than 5% for TH	2/1/0		0		APR Q19a1, APR Q19a2
Increase Overall Income	30% or more	20-29%	Less than 20%	2/1/0		0		APR Q19a1, APR Q19a2
Housing Stability (Retention)—stay more than 12 months (PSH ONLY)	Over 90%	85-90%	Under 85%	8/4/0		0		APR upload tool/Length of participation in Project
Exits to permanent housing	At least 90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	75-89% of PSH participants or 80-89% of TH/RRH participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	Less than 75% of PSH participants or less than 80% of TH/RRH participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	8/4/0		0		APR Q23a & Q23b

TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED	4